



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: June 1, 2006

Signature:

Judy Bridgwater
(Judy Bridgwater)

Docket No.: 219002030500
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Sundeep DUGAR et al.

Application No.: 10/811,428

Filed: March 26, 2004

For: BI-CYCLIC PYRIMIDINE INHIBITORS OF
TGF BETA

Confirmation No.: 2634

Art Unit: 1624

Examiner: Thomas C. McKenzie

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to a restriction requirement mailed 1 March 2006, time for response to which was set to expire 1 April 2006. A petition for an extension of time of two months until 1 June 2006 is enclosed along with the required fee. Accordingly, this response is timely filed.

There were 66 groups, most of which had to do with specific indications set forth in claim 9.

Applicants elect to prosecute the invention of Group I, those parts of claims 1-4 where, in formula I, all X are C, and n is 2-5. This would include the compound of claim 7.

Applicants make this selection with traverse with regard to that portion of Groups XII-LXVI that includes claims 6 and 7. No possible reason is seen why claim 6, which is simply to

a pharmaceutical composition including the compound of the elected invention, or claim 7, dependent thereon and limited to one of the elected compounds and should be a separate group.

The issue as to what extent method claims 8-20 might be rejoined in the event composition claims are allowed can be postponed for the time being.

Reconsideration of the restriction requirement as applied to claims 6 and 7 is respectfully requested; otherwise, the election of Group I is made without traverse.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** referencing docket no. 219002030500. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: June 1, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By Kate H. Murashige
Kate H. Murashige
Registration No.: 29,959
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
12531 High Bluff Drive
Suite 100
San Diego, California 92130-2040
(858) 720-5112